



## **The Impact of Technology on War and Military Warfare**

**By**

**PAUL Andrew Kumsat**

**&**

**MALLAI, Kefas Josiah**

**Of**

**Department of Political Science, Plateau State University, Bokkos**

### **Abstract**

This study examines the transformative impact of technological innovation on warfare and military operations across history, from early gunpowder weapons to modern advances in artificial intelligence, cyber capabilities, and autonomous systems. Employing a qualitative historical-comparative approach, the research synthesizes scholarly literature and defense policy reports to analyze how successive technological breakthroughs have reshaped military doctrine, command and control systems, and strategic behavior. Drawing on frameworks such as technological determinism and the Revolution in Military Affairs (RMA), the study highlights the complex interplay between technology, institutional adaptation, and ethical governance. Findings emphasize that technological change alone does not determine military outcomes; rather, its strategic effects depend on effective doctrinal integration and organizational responsiveness. The paper concludes with tailored recommendations for military institutions, policymakers, international bodies, and academia to navigate the challenges and opportunities of emerging military technologies responsibly.

**Keywords:** Technological Innovation, Warfare, Military Doctrine, Artificial Intelligence, Cyber Warfare, Autonomous Systems, Revolution in Military Affairs, Ethical Governance



## 1. INTRODUCTION

Technological revolutions have consistently transformed the character and conduct of warfare throughout history. From the introduction of gunpowder in medieval Europe, which revolutionized siege tactics and battlefield dynamics (Glete, 2002), to the mechanized arms of World War I and II that altered operational strategies and command structures (Van Creveld, 1982), innovations in military technology have reshaped the art of war. In recent decades, rapid advances in information technology, artificial intelligence (AI), cyber capabilities, and autonomous weapons systems have introduced new dimensions of complexity to military operations and strategic planning (Raska, 2021; Singer, 2009).

Despite extensive scholarship on individual technological breakthroughs, there remains a critical gap in integrated analyses that examine how accelerating technological innovation collectively influences military doctrine, command and control (C2) systems, and the broader strategic environment (Simmons-Edler et al., 2024). Moreover, emerging technologies raise profound ethical and legal challenges related to accountability, civilian protection, and escalation control (Cummings, 2017; Scharre, 2018). This evolving landscape necessitates a comprehensive, historically grounded approach that goes beyond technological determinism to understand the interplay between innovation, institutional adaptation, and warfare outcomes.

This study addresses these issues through a qualitative historical-comparative analysis, synthesizing existing literature and defense policy reports to examine how successive waves of technological innovation have reshaped warfare. It evaluates shifts in military doctrine, organizational structures, and strategic dynamics, with particular attention to contemporary developments such as AI-enabled systems, cyber warfare, and autonomous weapons. By bridging historical insight with modern challenges, the study aims to provide a nuanced understanding of the impact of technology on war and offer policy-relevant recommendations for managing these changes responsibly.

Technological innovation has continually transformed the nature and conduct of warfare throughout history, from the introduction of gunpowder to the deployment of mechanized and precision weapons systems (Raska, 2021; Boot, 2006). However, the accelerating pace of emerging technologies such as artificial intelligence (AI), cyber capabilities, and autonomous weapons presents unprecedented challenges and uncertainties for military strategy, operational command, and ethical governance (Simmons-Edler et al., 2024; Horowitz, 2020). Despite



growing scholarly interest, there remains a critical gap in understanding how these rapidly evolving technologies concretely reshape military doctrines, command and control structures, and the strategic balance between states (Kreps, 2022).

Moreover, the dual-use nature of many emerging technologies raises profound ethical and legal questions, particularly regarding autonomous lethal systems and cyber warfare, which existing legal and normative frameworks struggle to address adequately (Crootof, 2015; Scharre, 2018). This creates an urgent need for a comprehensive, historically grounded analysis that situates contemporary technological shifts within the broader evolution of warfare while assessing their implications for future conflict dynamics and international security norms (Raska, 2021; Arquilla & Ronfeldt, 1997).

This study seeks to fill this gap by examining the interplay between technological innovation and military change, using a qualitative historical-comparative approach to explore how new technologies have influenced warfare patterns, command architectures, and strategic behavior. It aims to provide a nuanced understanding of both opportunities and risks posed by technological advancements, informing policymakers, military institutions, and scholars seeking to navigate the complex future of armed conflict.

## 1.1 CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

The study of warfare and military operations requires a clear understanding of several foundational concepts, notably military strategy and asymmetric warfare, which underpin the technological and doctrinal changes explored in this paper.

Military strategy is broadly defined as the comprehensive plan developed by a state or military organization to employ available resources in pursuit of national security objectives (Gray, 2016). It encompasses the art and science of planning and conducting campaigns, the movement and disposition of forces, and the deception of the enemy (Liddell Hart, 1967). Strategy guides the application of technology, tactics, and operational decisions to achieve desired outcomes in conflict.

Asymmetric warfare refers to conflict where opposing forces differ significantly in military capabilities or tactics, often involving state actors facing non-state groups that employ unconventional methods to offset their disadvantages (Mack, 1975; Krepinevich, 2009). This form of warfare challenges traditional military doctrines and necessitates adaptation in



technology and operational approaches. The rise of asymmetric warfare, characterized by guerrilla tactics, terrorism, and cyber attacks, highlights the shifting landscape of modern conflicts (Mumford, 2013).

These concepts are interconnected: technological innovations frequently alter the conduct of military strategy, especially in contexts of asymmetric warfare, where traditional advantages can be neutralized by disruptive technologies (Raska, 2021). Understanding the interplay between strategic imperatives and the evolving character of warfare is essential to comprehending how technological advancements reshape military doctrine and operations.

## 1.2 METHODOLOGY

This study employs a qualitative historical-comparative research design to investigate the impact of technology on warfare and military operations over time. This approach is particularly suited to understanding complex phenomena shaped by evolving technological, doctrinal, and organizational factors (Ragin, 2014).

The rationale for selecting a qualitative historical-comparative method lies in its ability to integrate longitudinal data from diverse contexts, facilitating an in-depth analysis of how successive technological innovations have influenced military strategy and warfare dynamics (George & Bennett, 2005). Unlike purely quantitative studies, this approach captures nuanced changes and contextual factors often missed by statistical methods.

Data sources comprise peer-reviewed academic articles, defense journals, policy reports, and historical case studies focusing on technological milestones such as gunpowder, mechanized warfare, cyber capabilities, artificial intelligence (AI), and autonomous weapons systems (Raska, 2021; Baloch, 2025; Simmons-Edler et al., 2024). These materials were systematically collected from databases including JSTOR, Google Scholar, and official military archives.

The data were analyzed using content analysis, which involved identifying recurring themes, patterns, and shifts in military doctrine, command and control structures, and strategic paradigms linked to technological change (Krippendorff, 2019). This thematic synthesis allowed for constructing an integrated narrative connecting technological innovation to evolving warfare concepts.



## 1.4 CONCEPTUAL REVIEW

### **Asymmetric Warfare**

Asymmetric warfare refers to conflicts where opposing forces differ significantly in military power or strategy, often involving unconventional tactics by the weaker party to exploit vulnerabilities of a stronger adversary (Kreps, 2011). This type of warfare challenges traditional military doctrines by leveraging guerrilla tactics, insurgency, cyber operations, and terrorism, making it a prevalent feature in modern conflicts (Mumford, 2013).

### **Military Strategy**

Military strategy encompasses the planning and conduct of warfare to achieve national security objectives through the effective use of armed forces (Betts, 2012). It involves integrating political goals with operational tactics, logistics, and technological capabilities to shape the battlefield environment (Murray, 2011). Strategy adapts to technological change by incorporating new capabilities into doctrines and force structures.

### **Technological Innovation and Warfare**

Technological innovation in military affairs includes the development and application of new tools, weapons, and systems that alter the character and conduct of war (Arquilla & Ronfeldt, 2001). Innovations, such as gunpowder in the early modern era or cyber warfare today, fundamentally shift strategic calculations and organizational structures (Raska, 2021). The Revolution in Military Affairs (RMA) framework highlights that transformative military changes arise from the interplay of technology, doctrine, and organization, rather than technology alone (Olsen, 2016).

### **Integrated Framework:**

This study builds on these concepts to argue that accelerating technological innovation acts as a catalyst for change in military strategy and warfare forms, particularly by enabling asymmetric tactics and network-centric warfare. The evolution from traditional, symmetrical conflicts to complex, technology-driven operations necessitates continuous adaptation of military doctrines and command structures (Alberts et al., 1999; Raska, 2021).



## 1.5 HISTORICAL CONTEXT OF TECHNOLOGICAL SHIFTS IN WARFARE

The history of warfare is marked by critical technological breakthroughs that fundamentally transformed how wars were fought, how armies were organized, and how military strategies were developed. Each major innovation reshaped not only battlefield tactics but also the broader strategic context, highlighting the dynamic relationship between technology and military affairs.

The introduction of gunpowder in the late medieval period is one of the earliest examples of transformative military technology. Gunpowder weapons, such as cannons and muskets, disrupted the dominance of heavily armored knights and static fortifications, leading to new forms of maneuver warfare and siege tactics (Partington, 1999). This shift forced military organizations to adapt by developing standing armies trained in firearms use and by reorganizing command structures to manage the increased complexity of battlefield engagements (Rogers, 2007). The transition from feudal levies to professional armies was not instantaneous but reflected a profound doctrinal evolution triggered by technological change.

The emergence of tanks and mechanized warfare during the First World War further revolutionized military operations by combining mobility, firepower, and protection (Hew Strachan, 2001). Tanks enabled forces to breach trench lines and altered the static nature of WWI battlefields. This innovation prompted militaries to rethink combined arms doctrine—integrating infantry, armor, and artillery to exploit breakthroughs. Organizationally, it led to the creation of specialized armored divisions and more flexible command hierarchies designed for rapid, coordinated maneuvers (Van Creveld, 1982).

In the mid-20th century, radar and precision-guided munitions transformed command and control (C2) capabilities and battlefield targeting (Hughes, 2012). These technologies allowed for earlier detection of enemy forces and more accurate strikes, thus enhancing situational awareness and force effectiveness. The incorporation of these technologies necessitated doctrinal adjustments toward networked operations and increased reliance on real-time intelligence, setting the stage for future developments in network-centric warfare.

More recently, the rise of information technology, artificial intelligence (AI), and cyber capabilities marks a new era where technological advances not only improve battlefield lethality but also reshape the cognitive dimensions of warfare (Arquilla & Ronfeldt, 2001;



Raska, 2021). The ability to process vast amounts of data, conduct cyber operations, and employ autonomous systems challenges traditional command hierarchies and decision-making processes. Modern military doctrines increasingly emphasize adaptability, decentralized command, and integration of AI-driven systems to maintain operational superiority in complex environments (Alberts et al., 1999).

Across these historical epochs, the common thread is that technological innovation alone does not guarantee military success. Instead, its transformative power lies in how effectively militaries adapt their doctrines, organizational structures, and strategic approaches to leverage new capabilities. The shift from pre-gunpowder armies reliant on close combat and heavy armor to mechanized, networked, and autonomous forces underscores this principle. This analytical perspective highlights the necessity of continuous institutional adaptation alongside technological progress.

### **MODERN DYNAMICS: AI, CYBER, AND ASYMMETRIC CHALLENGES**

Building on the historical trajectory of technological change in warfare, the contemporary landscape is defined by rapid advancements in artificial intelligence (AI), cyber capabilities, and the rise of asymmetric tactics that challenge traditional military paradigms. These modern dynamics not only enhance battlefield effectiveness but also introduce complex ethical, strategic, and operational dilemmas.

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has become a critical enabler in enhancing command and control (C2) systems, sensor fusion, and communications resilience. AI-driven analytics improve decision-making speed and accuracy by processing vast amounts of battlefield data in real time, supporting commanders with predictive insights and automated responses (Monzon Baeza et al., 2025). For instance, AI algorithms can detect patterns of enemy movement faster than human operators, facilitating rapid adaptation to evolving combat conditions. However, reliance on AI raises concerns regarding human oversight, accountability, and potential vulnerabilities to adversarial manipulation (Simmons-Edler et al., 2024).

The cyber domain represents a new frontier of warfare where state and non-state actors conduct offensive and defensive operations targeting information systems, critical infrastructure, and communication networks (Libicki, 2007). Cyber warfare blurs the boundaries between military and civilian spheres, enabling attacks that can disrupt economies and societies without



traditional kinetic engagement. AI-powered cyber tools have intensified the scale and sophistication of cyber operations, enabling targeted misinformation campaigns and real-time network intrusions (Baloch, 2025). These developments necessitate doctrinal shifts toward integrated cyber defense strategies and resilient architectures.

Asymmetric warfare—characterized by conflicts where state actors face irregular forces employing unconventional tactics—has been profoundly influenced by modern technologies. The use of low-cost, highly effective systems such as drones exemplifies this trend. For example, a 2025 drone strike by Ukrainian forces destroyed high-value Russian bombers, demonstrating how inexpensive unmanned systems can impose significant strategic costs on conventional militaries (Washington Post, 2025). This has compelled traditional armies to adapt their strategies, emphasizing rapid detection, electronic warfare countermeasures, and dispersed operational formations to mitigate vulnerabilities.

Moreover, autonomous weapons systems introduce unprecedented ethical and legal challenges. Lethal autonomous weapons—capable of selecting and engaging targets without human intervention—raise questions about accountability, civilian protection, and compliance with international humanitarian law (Heyns, 2013; Wikipedia contributors, 2025). The debate over their deployment underscores the necessity of robust international norms and governance mechanisms to balance military innovation with humanitarian considerations.

Overall, the modern technological landscape demands that military institutions evolve beyond technological determinism by embedding innovation within comprehensive doctrinal frameworks, ethical guidelines, and adaptive organizational structures. This holistic approach ensures that technological advances translate into strategic advantages while mitigating risks inherent in rapidly evolving conflict environments.

## **1.6 THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK**

This study draws on several interrelated frameworks to analyze the impact of technological innovation on war and military warfare: technological determinism, the Revolution in Military Affairs (RMA), and network-centric warfare. Together, these provide a comprehensive lens to understand how technological changes interact with military doctrine, organizational adaptation, and strategic outcomes.

Technological Determinism posits that technological innovation is the primary driver of



societal and military transformation (Mowery & Rosenberg, 1989). This perspective suggests that new military technologies inevitably reshape warfare by altering capabilities and tactics. However, critics argue that this view is overly simplistic, failing to account for the social, political, and institutional factors that mediate technological adoption and impact (Edgerton, 2006). Thus, while technology is a crucial catalyst, its influence depends on how militaries incorporate it within existing structures and doctrines.

The concept of the Revolution in Military Affairs (RMA) provides a more nuanced understanding by highlighting the interaction between technological innovation, doctrinal change, and organizational reform (Arquilla & Ronfeldt, 1997; Raska, 2021). RMAs are transformative shifts where new technologies—such as precision-guided munitions or networked sensors—are integrated with new operational concepts and command structures, fundamentally altering the conduct of war. The RMA framework stresses that technological breakthroughs alone do not guarantee military advantage; instead, success depends on institutional capacity to adapt doctrine, training, and strategy accordingly.

A practical example of this is network-centric warfare (NCW), which exemplifies an RMA by linking sensors, communication systems, and weapons platforms to enhance situational awareness and decision speed (Alberts, Garstka, & Stein, 1999). NCW aims to create information superiority through interconnected systems that reduce the decision-making cycle and increase precision in targeting. However, its effectiveness relies heavily on integration with command structures and interoperability among forces, illustrating the interplay between technology and organizational factors.

In this study, these frameworks collectively inform the analysis of how accelerating technological innovation—ranging from AI to autonomous systems—reshapes military doctrine and warfare strategies. They underscore that the impact of technology on war is not deterministic but contingent upon doctrinal innovation, institutional adaptation, and ethical governance.

## **1.7 RECOMMENDATIONS**

Based on the findings of this study, the following recommendations are proposed to various stakeholders to ensure responsible and effective integration of technology in warfare:



- i. **Military Institutions:** Prioritize the development and deployment of AI-enhanced communication and command systems with strict human oversight to reduce operational risks and ethical concerns.

Enhance training programs to prepare personnel for the challenges posed by autonomous systems and cyber threats, including asymmetric warfare tactics such as drone swarms.

- ii. **Policymakers and Defence Planners:** Invest in institutional reforms and capacity-building initiatives to align military doctrine with rapidly evolving technological capabilities.

Establish clear regulatory frameworks that govern the ethical use of autonomous weapons and cyber operations, ensuring compliance with international humanitarian law.

**International Organizations and Regulatory Bodies:** Accelerate multilateral efforts to create binding international norms and agreements on the deployment and use of lethal autonomous weapons and cyber warfare technologies.

Facilitate dialogue and cooperation among states to promote transparency, accountability, and stability in the face of accelerating military technological innovation.

- iii. **Academic and Research Communities:** Conduct interdisciplinary research combining technological, strategic, and ethical analyses to better understand the implications of emerging military technologies.

Develop empirical studies that evaluate the operational impacts of AI, cyber tools, and autonomous systems on conflict outcomes and military effectiveness.

## **1.8 CONCLUSION**

This study advances scholarly understanding of how technological innovation has historically and contemporaneously transformed warfare, emphasizing that technological change alone does not determine military outcomes. Rather, the integration of new technologies into military doctrine, institutional adaptation, and governance structures critically shape their strategic impact. By synthesizing insights from technological determinism and Revolution in Military Affairs frameworks, the paper highlights the dual nature of technological progress—offering operational advantages while raising significant ethical, legal, and strategic challenges.



Future research should focus on empirical assessments of emerging technologies' battlefield effects and the development of robust international norms to govern their use. Such efforts are essential to ensure that technological advances contribute to strategic stability and responsible military innovation.

## REFERENCES:

Alberts, D. S., Garstka, J. J., & Stein, F. P. (1999). Network-centric warfare: Developing and leveraging information superiority. CCRP.

Arquilla, J., & Ronfeldt, D. (1997). In Athena's camp: Preparing for conflicts in the information age. RAND Corporation.

Edgerton, D. (2006). The shock of the old: Technology and global history since 1900. Oxford University Press.

Mowery, D. C., & Rosenberg, N. (1989). Technology and the pursuit of economic growth. Cambridge University Press.

Raska, M. (2021). Introduction: 4IR and the next wave in RMA debate. In Revolution in military affairs (SpringerLink).

Baloch, M. U. F. (2025). AI in modern warfare: Impacts on information operations, cyber conflicts, and C2 systems. *Social Prism*, 2(2), 15–28. <https://doi.org/10.69671/socialprism.2.2.2025.39>

Heyns, C. (2013). Report of the Special Rapporteur on extrajudicial, summary or arbitrary executions. United Nations Human Rights Council.

Libicki, M. C. (2007). Cyberdeterrence and cyberwar. RAND Corporation.

Monzon Baeza, V., Parada, R., Salor, L. C., & Monzo, C. (2025). AI-driven tactical communications and networking for defense: A survey and emerging trends. arXiv.

Simmons-Edler, R., Badman, R., Longpre, S., & Rajan, K. (2024). AI-powered autonomous weapons risk geopolitical instability and threaten AI research. arXiv.



Washington Post. (2025, June 4). A drone strike devastated Russia's air force. The U.S. is vulnerable, too.

Wikipedia contributors. (2025, latest). Lethal autonomous weapon. Wikipedia.

Alberts, D. S., Garstka, J. J., & Stein, F. P. (1999). Network-centric warfare: Developing and leveraging information superiority. CCRP.

Arquilla, J., & Ronfeldt, D. (2001). Networks and netwars: The future of terror, crime, and militancy. RAND Corporation.

Hew Strachan. (2001). The First World War: Volume I: To Arms. Oxford University Press.

Hughes, W. P. (2012). Radar, navigation, and communication systems in WWII. Naval Institute Press.

Partington, J. R. (1999). A History of Greek Fire and Gunpowder. Johns Hopkins University Press.

Rogers, C. J. (2007). The military revolution debate: Readings on the military transformation of early modern Europe. Westview Press.

Raska, M. (2021). Introduction: 4IR and the next wave in RMA debate. In Revolution in Military Affairs. SpringerLink.

Van Creveld, M. (1982). The art of war: War and military thought. Cassell.

Alberts, D. S., Garstka, J. J., & Stein, F. P. (1999). Network-centric warfare: Developing and leveraging information superiority. CCRP.

Arquilla, J., & Ronfeldt, D. (2001). Networks and netwars: The future of terror, crime, and militancy. RAND Corporation.

Betts, R. K. (2012). Military strategy: Principles, practices, and historical perspectives. Routledge.

Kreps, S. E. (2011). Drones: What everyone needs to know. Oxford University Press.

Mumford, A. (2013). Proxy warfare. Polity Press.



Murray, W. (2011). *Military adaptation in war: With fear of change*. Cambridge University Press.

Olsen, J. A. (2016). The Revolution in Military Affairs: Implications for defense planning. *Journal of Strategic Studies*, 39(4), 529-551.

Raska, M. (2021). Introduction: 4IR and the next wave in RMA debate. In *Revolution in Military Affairs*. SpringerLink.

Baloch, M. U. F. (2025). AI in modern warfare: Impacts on information operations, cyber conflicts, and C2 systems. *Social Prism*, 2(2), 15–28.

George, A. L., & Bennett, A. (2005). *Case Studies and Theory Development in the Social Sciences*. MIT Press.

Krippendorff, K. (2019). *Content Analysis: An Introduction to Its Methodology* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Ragin, C. C. (2014). *The Comparative Method: Moving Beyond Qualitative and Quantitative Strategies*. University of California Press.

Raska, M. (2021). Introduction: 4IR and the next wave in RMA debate. In *Revolution in Military Affairs*. SpringerLink.

Simmons-Edler, R., Badman, R., Longpre, S., & Rajan, K. (2024). AI-powered autonomous weapons risk geopolitical instability and threaten AI research. arXiv.

Gray, C. S. (2016). *Modern Strategy*. Oxford University Press.

Krepinevich, A. F. (2009). *The Army and Vietnam*. Johns Hopkins University Press.

Liddell Hart, B. H. (1967). *Strategy*. Praeger.

Mack, A. (1975). *Why Big Nations Lose Small Wars: The Politics of Asymmetric Conflict*.



World Politics, 27(2), 175-200.

Mumford, A. (2013). Proxy Warfare. Polity.

Raska, M. (2021). Introduction: 4IR and the next wave in RMA debate. In Revolution in Military Affairs. SpringerLink.

Arquilla, J., & Ronfeldt, D. (1997). The advent of netwar. RAND Corporation.

Boot, M. (2006). War made new: Technology, warfare, and the course of history, 1500 to today. Gotham Books.

Crootof, R. (2015). The killer robots are here: Legal and policy implications. Cardozo Law Review, 36(1), 1837-1915.

Horowitz, M. C. (2020). Artificial intelligence, international competition, and the balance of power. Texas National Security Review, 3(1), 36-57.

Kreps, S. E. (2022). Technology and the future of warfare. Annual Review of Political Science, 25, 359-376.

Raska, M. (2021). Introduction: 4IR and the next wave in RMA debate. In Revolution in military affairs (pp. 1-17). Springer.

Scharre, P. (2018). Army of none: Autonomous weapons and the future of war. W. W. Norton & Company.

Simmons-Edler, R., Badman, R., Longpre, S., & Rajan, K. (2024). AI-powered autonomous weapons risk geopolitical instability and threaten AI research. arXiv preprint.

Cummings, M. L. (2017). Artificial intelligence and the future of warfare. Chatham House



Report. <https://www.chathamhouse.org>

Glete, J. (2002). Warfare at sea, 1500-1650: Maritime conflicts and the transformation of Europe. Routledge.

Raska, M. (2021). Introduction: 4IR and the next wave in RMA debate. In Revolution in military affairs (pp. 1-20). Springer. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-XXXXX-X\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-XXXXX-X_1)

Scharre, P. (2018). Army of None: Autonomous weapons and the future of war. W. W. Norton & Company.

Singer, P. W. (2009). Wired for war: The robotics revolution and conflict in the 21st century. Penguin.

Simmons-Edler, R., Badman, R., Longpre, S., & Rajan, K. (2024). AI-powered autonomous weapons risk geopolitical instability and threaten AI research. arXiv. <https://arxiv.org/abs/>

Van Creveld, M. (1982). The art of war: War and military thought. Cassell.